AD-A109 901


NEW YORK STATE DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION ALRANY F/G 13/17

NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. GARNET LAKE DAM (INVENTORY NUMBER --ETC(II))

DACW51-79-C-0001

NL

The I shows the same of the same



SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PACE (From Date Conserve)		
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. HEPORT HUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION AD-A109 901	NO. 1. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
Phase I Inspection Report Garnet Lake Dam	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Phase I Inspection Report National Dam Safety Program	
Upper Hudson River Basin, Warren County, N.Y. Inventory No. NY01167	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
7. AUTHOR(4)	6. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	
GEORGE KOCH	DACW51-79-C-0001	
S. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS New York State Department of Environmental Conservation 50 Wolf Road Albany, New York 12233	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE	
Department of the Army	18 September 1981	
26 Federal Plaza New York District, Cofe New York, New York 10287 14. MONITORING AGENCY HANG & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office	13. NUMBER OF PAGES	
Department of the Army	o) 15. SECURITY CLASS, (of this report)	
26 Federal Plaza New York District, Coff New York, NY 10287	UNCLASSIFIED	
10207	15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
Approved for public release; Distribucion unliminate	ELEC 1982	
Original contains 9010r	JAN	
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES White The In Pack and		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on torsess side if necessary and identify by block number Dam Safety National Dam Safety Program Visual Inspection Hydrology, Structural Stability	Garnet Lake Dam Warren County NY Upper Hudson River Basin	
This report provides information and analysis on the physical condition of the dam as of the report date. Information and analysis are based on visual inspection of the dam by the performing organization.		
Visual inspection of this dam did not reveal co an immediate hazard to human life or property. deficiencies which require further engineering	However, the dam has some	
•	00 145	

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (From Date Holmen

SECURITY ELASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered

Using the Corps of Engineer's Screening Criteria for the initial review of spillway adequacy, it has been determined that the structure would be overtopped by all storms exceeding 26% of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). Since the upper portion of this dam is an earth embankment, overtopping could cause failure of the dam. A dam break analysis indicates that an overtopping induced failure would significantly increase the hazard to loss of life downstream of the dam from that which would exist just prior to failure. Therefore, the spillway is adjudged to be "seriously inadequate" and the dam is assessed as "unsafe; non-emergency".

Immediately upon receipt of this notification, a system for providing around-the-clock surveillance of the dam during periods of unusually heavy precipitation should be developed and implemented. An emergency action plan for the notification and evacuation of downstream residents should also be developed.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

UPPER HUDSON RIVER BASIN

GARNET LAKE DAM

WARREN COUNTY, NEW YORK INVENTORY NO. N.Y. 1167

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

NEW YORK DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
AUGUST, 1981

PREFACE


This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I Investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through frequent inspections can unsafe conditions be detacted and only through continued care and maintenance can these conditions be prevented or corrected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aide in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

Copy available to DTIC does not permit fully legible reproduction



PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM GARNET LAKE DAM I.D. NO. NY1167 #186-574 UPPER HUDSON RIVER BASIN WARREN COUNTY, NEW YORK

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	PAGE NO.
-	ASSESSMENT	-
-	OVERVIEW PHOTOGRAPH	-
1	PROJECT INFORMATION	1
1.1	GENERAL	1
1.2	DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT	1
1.3	PERTINENT DATA	2
2	ENGINEERING DATA	4
2.1	GEOTECHNICAL DATA	4
2.2	DESIGN RECORDS	4
2.3	CONSTRUCTION RECORDS	4
2.4	OPERATION RECORDS	4
2.5	EVALUATION OF DATA	4
3	VISUAL INSPECTION	5
3.1	FINDINGS	5
3.2	EVALUATION OF OBSERVATIONS	6
4	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURE	7
4.1	PROCEDURES	7
4.2	MAINTENANCE OF THE DAM	7
4.3	WARNING SYSTEM	7
4.4	EVALUATION	7

		PAGE NO
5	HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC	8
5.1	DRAINAGE AREA CHARACTERISTICS	8
5.2	ANALYSIS CRITERIA	8
5.3	SPILLWAY CAPACITY	8
5.4	RESERVOIR CAPACITY	8
5.5	FLOODS OF RECORD	9
5.6	OVERTOPPING POTENTIAL	9
5.7	EVALUATION	9
6	STRUCTURAL STABILITY .	10
6.1	EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY	10
7	ASSESSMENT/RECOMMENDATIONS	11
7.1	ASSESSMENT	11
7.2	RECOMMENDED MEASURES	11

APPENDIX

- A. PHOTOGRAPHS
- B. VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST
- C. HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC ENGINEERING DATA AND COMPUTATIONS
- D. REFERENCES
- E. DRAWINGS

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Name of Dam:

Garnet Lake Dam

(I.D. No. NY-1167)

State Located:

New York

County:

Warren

Watershed:

Upper Hudson River Basin

Stream:

Mill Creek

Date of Inspection:

July 28, 1981

ASSESSMENT:

Visual inspection of this dam did not reveal conditions which constitute an immediate hazard to human life or property. However, the dam has some deficiencies which require further engineering investigations.

Using the Corps of Engineer's Screening Criteria for the initial review of spillway adequacy, it has been determined that the structure would be overtopped by all storms exceeding 26% of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). Since the upper portion of this dam is an earth embankment, overtopping could cause failure of the dam. A dam break analysis indicates that an overtopping induced failure would significantly increase the hazard to loss of life downstream of the dam from that which would exist just prior to failure. Therefore, the spillway is adjudged to be "seriously inadequate" and the dam is assessed as "unsafe; non-emergency".

Immediately upon receipt of this notification, a system for providing around-the-clock surveillance of the dam during periods of unusually heavy precipitation should be developed and implemented. An emergency action plan for the notification and evacuation of downstream residents should also be developed.

It is recommended that within 3 months of the date of notification of the owner, a hydrologic/hydraulic investigation of the structure should be commenced. Mitigating measures for increased spillway capacity deemed necessary as a result of the investigations should be completed within 18 months.

Several other deficiencies were noted on this structure. These deficiencies should be corrected within 12 months of the date of notification of the owner. Among the required actions are the following:

1. Cut brush, trees, and weeds growing on the dam embankment.


- 2. Flatten embankment slopes, especially the seriously oversteepened segment near the site of the old hydro-electric plant.
- 3. Drain the small pool beyond the downstream toe of the dam and keep the soft area under surveillance.
- 4. Fill the gaps between the three pipe-arches and the downstream headwall.
- 5. Repair the cracks and small voids in the downstream headwall.
- 6. Fill the voids on the crest and under the downstream end of the concrete apron.
- 7. Make the reservoir drain operational.

George Koch
Chief, Dam Safety Section
New York State Department
of Environmental Conservation
NY License No. 45937

Approved by:

Col. W. M. Smith Jr. New York District Engineer

Date: 1 8 SEP 1981



OVERVIEW
GARNET LAKE DAM
I.D. NO. NY1167

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM GARNET LAKE DAM I.D. NO. NY1167 #186-574 UPPER HUDSON RIVER BASIN WARREN COUNTY, NEW YORK

SECTION 1: PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 GENERAL

a. Authority
The Phase I inspection reported herein was authorized by the Department of the Army, New York District, Corps of Engineers, to fulfill the requirements of the National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367.

b. Purpose of Inspection
This inspection was conducted to evaluate the existing conditions of
the dam, to identify deficiencies and hazardous conditions, to determine
if these deficiencies constitute hazards to life and property, and to
recommend remedial measures where required.

1.2 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

a. Description of Dam
The Garnet Lake Dam is a composite structure with the lower portion consisting of concrete and stone and the upper portion formed by an earth roadway embankment. The spillway for the dam consists of three ungated corrugated steel pipe-arch culverts.

The dam is about 160 feet long and 15 feet high. The crest is 18.5 feet wide. A paved local road crosses the crest of the dam. The stone and concrete portion composes the lower 9 feet of the structure while the earth fill makes up the upper 6 feet. Some earth fill from the roadway covers the concrete and stone on both the upstream and downstream faces at either end of the spillway.

The spillway consists of three 44 inch by 72 inch bitumenous coated corrugated steel pipe-arch culverts located near the middle of the dam. The pipes are 20 feet long and there are concrete headwalls at both ends of the pipe. There are provisions for stop logs on the upstream end of each of the pipes. The pipes outlet onto a concrete apron structure which is about 10 feet wide. At the end of the apron there is a 3 foot drop to boulders in the outlet stream plunge pool area.

There is a reservoir drain believed to be an 8 to 10 inch diameter pipe, extending through the base of the dam. The control mechanism for this pipe i attached) the headwall at the upstream end of the spillway.



b. Location

This dam is located on Garnet Lake Road in the Town of Johnsburg, Warren County. The dam is at the northeast end of Garnet Lake. It is approximately 7 miles southwest of the hamlet of Weavertown and six miles south of New York State Route 8.

c. Size Classification

This dam is 15 feet high and has a storage capacity of 2464 acre-feet. Therefore, the dam is in the intermediate size category as defined by the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams".

d. Hazard Classification

This dam is classified as "high" hazard due to the presence of six houses and mobile homes downstream of the dam including several immediately adjacent to the stream channel.

e. Ownership

The lower portion of this dam is owned by the Garnet Lake Civic Association. The Town of Johnsburg owns and maintains the upper portion of the dam including the roadway which runs along the crest of the dam and the three spillway pipes. The contact person for the Civic Association is their attorney, Mr. Edward Stewart, Box 2, Circle Avenue, North Creek, New York 12853. His office phone number is (518) 251-2221. The Town of Johnsburg's Supervisor is Mr. Sterling Goodspeed. His address is Town Hall, North Creek, New York 12853.

f. Purpose of Dam
This dam is used to maintain the level of Garnet Lake for recreational purposes.

g. Design and Construction History
This dam was originally constructed in 1853. It was extensively reconstructed in or about 1913 for the North Creek Electric Company. The structure was reconstructed into its present configuration in the early 1950's. At that time, members of the Civic Association replaced the existing timber crib dam with a concrete and stone structure. The Town rebuilt the roadway and installed the three spillway culvert pipes at the same time.

h. Normal Operating Procedures
The only operation procedure on this structure is the installation of one stop log at the upstream end of each of the three culvert pipes in late May of each year. These stop logs are then removed in early September.

1.3 PERTINENT DATA

a. Drainage Area (sq.mi.)

8.62

<u>b.</u>	<u>Discharge at Dam (cfs)</u> Three pipe-arches - Water Surface at Top of Dam	420
<u>c.</u>	Elevation (USGS Datum) Top of Dam	1466.9
	Invert of Pipe-Arches	1462.0
	Invert of Reservoir Drain	Unknown
<u>d.</u>	Reservoir - Surface Area (acres) Top of Dam	287+
	Invert of Pipe Arches	287
<u>e.</u>	Storage Capacity (acre-feet) Top of Dam	2464
	Invert of Pipe Arches	957
<u>f.</u>	Dam Type - Concrete and stone structure with earth fill asphalt road runs along crest.	on top;
	Dam length (ft)	160
	Crest Width (ft)	18.5

g. Spillway

Type: Three 44 inch by 72 inch bituminous coated and paved corrugated steel pipe arches, each 20 feet long; concrete headwalls on both ends of pipes; concrete apron structure extends 10 feet beyond downstream end of pipes; vertical drop of 3 feet to boulders at end of apron.

n. Reservoir Drain
Type: Reported to be an 8-10 inch pipe through base of dam.

Control: Control mechanism attached to upstream headwall to spillway pipes; drain has not been operated in many years.

SECTION 2: ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 GEOTECHNICAL DATA

a. Geology

The Garnet Lake Dam is located in the Adirondack Highlands physiographic province of New York State. The original rock was sedimentary with large intrusions of igneous rocks (anorthosites, granites, gabbros). Much of this rock has been metamorphosed by heat, pressure, folding and faulting. Surface features of the rock reflects the effects of glaciation.

The surficial soils are the result of glaciations during the Cenozoic Era, the last of which was the Wisconsin glaciation.

b. Subsurface Investigations

There are no records of any subsurface investigations which were performed for this structure. It was reported in an inspection report dated 1925 that the foundation of the dam consisted of boulders and hardpan.

2.2 DESIGN RECORDS

No design records for the original construction or any of the subsequent reconstructions were available.

2.3 CONSTRUCTION RECORDS

No detailed construction records were available for this dam. A sketch of the dam, prepared in 1913, was available. It was not included in the appendix of this report since the dam was extensively reconstructed in the early 1950's and it no longer resembles the sketch.

The last major modifications to the structure were those made in the Fifties. At that time, the Town of Johnsburg reconstructed the road on top of the dam and installed the three spillway pipes.

2.4 OPERATION RECORDS

There are no operation records maintained on this dam.

2.5 EVALUATION OF DATA

Show The Hart St. A.

Data used for the preparation of this report was obtained from the Department of Environmental Conservation files, from measurements taken at the time of the inspection and from discussions with Mr. Paul Scott of the Civic Association. The information available was limited and analyses performed for this report were based primarily on the measurements made at the time of the inspection.

1.13

SECTION 3: VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 FINDINGS

<u>a. General</u>

Visual inspection of the Garnet Lake Dam was conducted on July 28,1981. The weather was sunny and the temperature was around 70 degrees. At the time of the inspection, the water was at the level of the top of the stoplogs on the spillway pipes.

b. Dam

Visual inspection revealed several deficiencies on this structure. There was extensive growth of brush, trees, and weeds on the downstream slope which prevented a detailed visual inspection of the slope. Some brush was growing on the upstream slope as well. Both the upstream and downstream slopes of the earth fill were fairly steep (approximately 1 vertical on 2 horizontal). There was one segment of the downstream slope which was even steeper (IV on IH). This segment was to the left of the spillway section and was originally the site of a hydroelectric plant. No remains of the plant were visible.

The embankment portion is composed of sand and gravel which also make up the roadway. There was up to 9 inches of asphalt pavement on the crest. There were two voids in the crest immediately above the spillway pipes, one at either end of the pipes. The void on the downstream end was the largest. It was approximately 1 foot in diameter and 2 feet deep. This void was being repaired at the time of the inspection.

One soft area was noted beyond the downstream toe. This area was to the left of the spillway section and approximately in line with the old power house. A pool approximately 20 feet in diameter had formed adjacent to the normal stream channel. There was no actual seepage in this area and it is possible that the pool is the result of backwater from the stream channel.

c. Spillway Several deficiencies were noted on the spillway section. The three pipearches were in satisfactory condition. The bituminous coating material had been removed from the lower portion of each of the pipes and there was some minor rusting noted in these unprotected areas. There were 3 inch wide gaps between the downstream concrete headwall and the crowns of all three pipes. There were also several other large cracks in this headwall. Cracks up to 3 inches wide were noted in the concrete between and above the pipes. There were small areas of concrete removal in the wall at the lower corners of each of the pipe-arches.

The concrete on the downstream apron is in good condition with no cracking or deterioration noted. There were 1 inch wide cracks with some concrete loss along the base of the side walls at either end of the apron. Large boulders were exposed beneath the downstream end of the apron. There were two small voids which extended for up to 1 foot under the downstream toe.

The state of the

d. Reservoir Drain

The reservoir drain is reported to be an 8-10 inch pipe through the base of the dam. The control mechanism for the valve on this pipe is located on the upstream headwall. This valve reportedly has not been operated in over twenty years. The outlet of the pipe could not be located.

e. Reservoir

The slopes adjacent to the lake were fairly steep and forested. There were no signs of soil instability in the reservoir area.

f. Downstream Channel

The channel downstream of the dam is lined with brush and trees. There are numerous boulders in the channel. Some of these boulders have been placed across the stream channel, approximately 30 feet downstream of the dam, forming a pool.

3.2 EVALUATION OF OBSERVATIONS

Visual inspection revealed several deficiencies on this structure. The following items were noted:

- 1. Brush, trees and weeds growing on the dam embankment preventing a detailed inspection of the dam.
- 2. Embankment slopes which were fairly steep including one seriously oversteepened segment (site of an old hydroelectric plant).
- 3. Voids in the crest immediately above the spillway pipes.
- A soft area at the edge of a 20 foot diameter pool beyond the downstream toe of the dam.
- Gaps between each of the three pipe-arches and the downstream headwall.
- 6. Cracks and small voids in the downstream headwall.
- 7. Small voids under the downstream end of the concrete apron.
- 8. A reservoir drain pipe which is probably inoperable.

SECTION 4: OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURE

4.1 PROCEDURES

Normal operation is to allow water to flow through the ungated spillway pipes. One 10 inch stop log is placed across the inlet at each of the three pipes each year in late May. These boards can then be removed, one at a time during dry summers to provide a flow of water in the downstream channel. The normal schedule is to remove the stop logs each year in early September and allow the water surface to drop.

4.2 MAINTENANCE OF DAM

Some maintenance work is performed on the dam by the Town of Johnsburg. The dam is also inspected occasionally by representatives of the Civic Association.

4.3 WARNING SYSTEM IN EFFECT

No apparent warning system for the evacuation of downstream residents is present.

4.4 EVALUATION

The operation procedures for this dam are satisfactory. Increased maintenance efforts are needed to repair the deficiencies noted in Section 3.

Surper Fr

SECTION 5: HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC

5.1 DRAINAGE AREA CHARACTERISTICS

Delineation of the watershed for Garnet Lake was made using the USGS 15 minute quadrangle sheets for Thirteenth Lake, North Creek, Harrisburg, and Lake Luzerne, New York. The 8.62 square mile drainage area consists primarily of forested lands. There are two ponds, Round Pond and Mud Pond in the upper portion of the drainage area. Relief in the watershed is very steep with slopes ranging from 20% to 40%. Mountains within the drainage area rise to 1500 feet above the reservoir level.

5.2 ANALYSIS CRITERIA

The anlysis of the floodwater retarding capability was made using the Corps of Engineers HEC-1 computer program, Dam Safety version. This program uses the Snyder Synthetic Unit hydrograph and the "Modified Puls" flood routing procedure. The spiilway design flood selected for analysis was the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) in accordance with the recommended guidelines of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. The PMF event is that hypothetical storm event resulting from the most critical combination of rainfall, minimum soil retention and direct runoff that is considered reasonably possible for a particular watershed.

5.3 SPILLWAY CAPACITY

The spillway for this structure consists of three 44 inch by 72 inch pipe-arches located in the center of the upper portion of the dam. The pipe-arches operate as culverts under inlet control conditions. Normal operation procedures are to put one stop log across the base of each pipe-arch to maintain a higher water surface from late May to early September. For the purposes of this analysis, it was assumed that no stop logs were in place and that the initial water surface was at the pipe-arch inverts.

The flood analysis performed for this dam indicates that the spillway does not have sufficient capacity for discharging one-half the PMF. For this storm event, the peak inflow is 4933 cfs and the peak outflow is 2692 cfs. The PMF peak inflow is 9866 cfs and the peak outflow is 7756 cfs. The capacity of the three pipe-arches with the water surface at the top of the dam is 420 cfs.

5.4 RESERVOIR CAPACITY

The stage-storage information available on this structure is very limited. Based on planimetered areas, the surcharge storage capacity between the invert of the pipe-arches and the top of the dam is 1507 acre-feet. This is equivalent to a direct runoff depth of 3.3 inches over the watershed.

5.5 FLOODS OF RECORD

No records were available regarding the flood of record.

5.6 OVERTOPPING POTENTIAL

Analysis using the PMF and one-half the PMF storm events indicates that the dam does not have sufficient spillway capacity. The computed depths of overtopping for these two events are 4.09 feet and 1.84 feet respectively. All storm events exceeding 26% of the PMF will result in the dam being overtopped.

5.7 Using the Corps of Engineer's screening criteria for initial review of spillway adequacy, it has been determined that the dam would be overtopped by all storms exceeding 26% of the PMF. Since a failure of this embankment dam would increase the hazard to downstream residents over that which would exist just prior to the failure, the spillway capacity is adjudged as seriously inadequate.

SECTION 6: STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

a. <u>Visual Observations</u>
Visual observations of the structure revealed several deficiencies.
Extensive growth of brush and trees prevented a detailed inspection of the slopes. Both the upstream and downstream slopes were fairly steep. One segment of the downstream slope was steeper than a l vertical on l horizontal. This was the site of an old hydroelectric plant.

There were several deficiencies noted on the spillway section. There was a 3 inch gap between the crown of each of the pipe-arches and the downstream headwall. Several cracks and small voids were also noted on this headwall.

b. Design and Construction Data
No design or construction information was available concerning this structure. Due to the lack of data and due to the unusual composition of the structure (the lower portion concrete and stone and the upper portion earth fill) a stability analysis was not done.

c. Seismic Stability
This dam is located in Seismic Zone 2. Due to the composition of the structure, no seismic stability analysis was performed.

SECTION 7: ASSESSMENT/RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 ASSESSMENT

a. Safety
The Phase I inspection of the Garnet Lake Dam revealed that the spillway capacity is seriously inadequate and outflows from all storms exceeding 26% of the Probable Maximum Flood would overtop the dam. A dam break analysis indicates that an overtopping induced failure would significantly increase the hazard to loss of life downstream of the dam. Therefore, the spillway is adjudged as seriously inadequate and the dam is assessed as unsafe, non-emergency.

The inspection also revealed several other deficiencies which affect the safety of this structure. Among these were a seriously oversteepened section of the downstream slope of the embankment and cracks in the downstream concrete headwall for the three spillway pipe-arches.

b. Adequacy of Information
The information which was available for the preparation of this report was quite limited. Sketches developed from field measurements taken at the time of inspection were used for the hydrologic/hydraulic analysis. Other data such as stage-storage curve was estimated based on available information.

c. Need for Additional Investigations
Since the spillway has been assessed as seriously inadequate, additional hydrologic/hydraulic investigations are required to more accurately determine the site specific characteristics of the watershed. Analyses will then be required to determine how to provide the additional spillway capacity needed.

d. <u>Urgency</u>
The additional hydrologic and hydraulic investigations which are needed should be commenced within 3 months of the date of notification. Other deficiencies should be corrected within 12 months.

7.2 RECOMMENDED MEASURES

- a. After the hydrologic/hydraulic investigation has been completed, mitigating measures dealing with the seriously inadequate spillway should be undertaken.
- b. Brush, trees and weeds growing on the dam embankment should be cut to permit a more detailed inspection of dam.
- c. The embankment slopes should be flattened with special attention given to the seriously oversteepened segment near the site of the old hydro-electric plant.

- d. The pool beyond the downstream toe of the dam should be drained and the soft area at the toe should be kept under surveillance.
- e. The gaps between the three pipe-arches and the downstream headwall should be filled.
- f. The cracks and small voids in the downstream headwall should be filled.
- g. The voids on the crest of the dam immediately above the spill-way pipes should be italied.
- h. Voids under the downstream end of the concrete apron should be repaired.
- i. The reservoir drain should be made operational.
- j. An emergency action plan for the notification and evacuation of downstream resident should be developed.

APPENDIX A

PHOTOGRAPHS



UPSTREAM SLOPE AND CREST OF DAM NOTE ROAD ALONG THE CREST



ACID IN CREST ABOVE SPILLWAY PIPES VOID WAS BEING FILLED AT TIME OF INSPECTION




DRUSH AND TREES GROWING ON DOWNSTREAM SLOPE IN AREA OF OLD HYDROELECTRIC PLANT



SECTION OF OVERSTEEPENED SLOPE WHERE HYDROELECTRIC PLANT USED TO BE LOCATED




UPSTREAM HEADWALL TO PIPE-ARCHES; NOTE CONTROL MECHANISM FOR RESERVOIR DRAIN



SEPARATION OF 3 INCHES BETWEEN DOWNSTREAM HEROWALL AND CENTER PIPE ARCH



SPILLWAY PIPE-ARCHES AND CONCRETE APRON: NOTE VOID UNDER APRON AT RIGHT SIDE OF PICTURE



CRACKS IN DOWNSTREAM HEADWALL

APPENDIX B
VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST

-	
a.	General
	Name of Dam GARNET LAKE DAM
	Fed. I.D. # NY 1167 DEC Dam No. 186-574
	River Basin UPPER HUDSON
	Location: Town JOHNSBURG County WARREN
	Stream Name MILL CREEK
	Tributary of
	Latitude (N) 43° 32,3′ Longitude (W) 74.° 00.7′
	Type of Dam STONE CONCRETE & EARTH
	Hazard Category
	Date(s) of Inspection 7/28/81
	Weather Conditions 70° SUNNY
	Reservoir Level at Time of Inspection 4.15'8 ELOW TOP OF DAM AT TOP OF STOPLOGE
٠.	Inspection Personnel R.L. WARRENDER, W.C. LYNICK
c.	Persons Contacted (Including Address & Phone No.)
c.	Persons Contacted (Including Address & Phone No.) ED STEWART - ATTORNEY FOR CIVIC ASSOCIATION & TOWN OF JOHNS RUM
c.	ED STEWART - ATTORNEY FOR CIVIC ASSOCIATION & TOWN OF JOHNSBUR
c.	
c.	ED STEWART - ATTORNEY FOR CIVIC ASSOCIATION & TOWN OF JOHNSBUR
	EDSTEWART-ATTORNEY FOR CIVIC ASSOCIATION & TOWN OF JOHNSBUR PAUL SCOTT- MEMBER OF GARNET LAKE CIVIC ASSOCIATION
đ.	EDSTEWART-ATTORNEY FOR CIVIC ASSOCIATION & TOWN OF JOHNSBUR PAUL SCOTT- MEMBER OF GARNET LAKE CIVIC ASSOCIATION History:
	EDSTEWART-ATTORNEY FOR CIVIC ASSOCIATION & TOWN OF JOHNSBUR PAUL SCOTT- MEMBER OF GARNET LAKE CIVIC ASSOCIATION
	ENSTEWART-ATTORNEY FOR CIVIC ASSOCIATION & TOWN OF JOHNS RUN PAUL SCOTT- MEMBER OF GARNET LAKE CIVIC ASSOCIATION History: Date Constructed 1913 Date(s) Reconstructed 1950±
	ENSTEWART-ATTORNEY FOR CIVIC ASSOCIATION & TOWN OF JOHNS RUA PAUL SCOTT- MEMBER OF GARNET LAKE CIVIC ASSOCIATION History: Date Constructed 1913 Date(s) Reconstructed 1950± Designer
	ENSTEWART-ATTORNEY FOR CIVIC ASSOCIATION & TOWN OF JOHNS BUR PAUL SCOTT- MEMBER OF GARNET LAKE CIVIC ASSOCIATION History: Date Constructed 1913 Date(s) Reconstructed 1950±

			to the state of th
2)	Emb	ankme	<u>nt</u>
	a.	Char	acteristics
		(1)	Embankment Material SAND & GRAVEL FORMING ROADWAY
			9" THEK ASPHALT PAVEMENT ON CREST
		(2)	Cutoff Type NonE
		(3)	Impervious Core NonE
		(4)	Internal Drainage System NowE
		(5)	Miscellaneous PAVED ROAD WAY OVER TOP OF DAM - 3 PIPE ARCH SPILLWAY CULVERTS THROUGH DAM
	Ġ.	Cres	
		(1)	Vertical Alignment SATISFACTORY
		(2)	Horizontal Alignment SAT/SFACTORY
			Surface Cracks NONE - THERE WERE ZVOIDS ABOUE SPALWAY PIPES
			HE AT UPSTREAM & ONE AT DOWNSTREAM - DOWNSTREAM VOID IS BIGGER
		(_)	FILLED AT TIME OF INSPECTION
	c.	Upst	ream Slope
		(1)	Slope (Estimate) (V:H) 10N/2
		(2)	Undesirable Growth or Debris, Animal Burrows Same BRUSH ON
			LEFT END
		(3)	Sloughing, Subsidence or Depressions Same MINDR SURFACE EROSION OF THE SAND & GRAVEL AT RIGHT END

(4)	Slope Protection Some RIPRAT IN SEVERAL ISOLATED LOCATIONS
	20 CA 7 10/QS
(5)	Surface Cracks or Movement at Toe
Down	stream Slope
(1)	Slope (Estimate - V:H) ON 1/2 OR STEEPER
(2)	Undesirable Growth or Debris, Animal Burrows SUBSTANTIAL GROWTH
	TREES ON RIGHT END - BRUSH & WEEDS ON LEFT END
(3)	Sloughing, Subsidence or Depressions ONE OVER STEEPENED AREA
((IV:14) TO LEFT OF SPILLWAY- SLOPE IS ION I OR STEEF
	IT IS REPORTEDLY SITE OF OLD HYDROELECTRIC MILL
(4)	Surface Cracks or Movement at Toe
(5)	Seepage ONE SOFT AREA NOTED IN LINE WITH OLD MILL
	STRUCTURE - BEYOND TOE OF DAM 20 FT DIA. POOL
	NO SEEPAGE OBSERVED BUT POOL IS FULL- COULD BE FROM
(6)	STREAM CHANNEL External Drainage System (Ditches, Trenches; Blanket) NONE
(7)	Condition Around Outlet Structure Same Scour AT END
	OF SPILLWAY STRUCTURE
(8)	Seepage Beyond Toe
Abut	ments - Embankment Contact
	SATISFACTORY

いて 大人のなか

٠,		ervoir
	а.	Slopes STEEP FORESTED TO EDGE OF RESERVOIR
	b.	Sedimentation None
	c.	Unusual Conditions Which Affect Dam NoNE
6)	Are	a Downstream of Dam
	a.	Downstream Hazard (No. of Homes, Highways, etc.) 6 Houses & TRAILERS
		WITH SEVERAL RIGHT ON STREAM CHANNEL
	b.	Seepage, Unusual Growth NONE
	c.	Evidence of Movement Beyond Toe of Dam None
	đ.	Condition of Downstream Channel BOULDERS - SOME LINES ACROSS CHANNEL TO FORM PLUNGE POOL - TREES TO EDGE OF CHANNEL
7)		llway(s) (Including Discharge Conveyance Channel)
		3-44" x 72" PIPE-ARCHES NEAR CENTER OF DAM- THROUGH
	<u>U</u>	PPER ROADWAY PORTION-HEADWALLS AT UPSTREAM & DOWNSTREAM ENDS
	a.	General 1 STOP LOG IN PLACE ACROSS UPSTREAM END OF
		EACH PIPE-ARCH - CONCRETE APRON GRAVITY STRUCTURE
		AT DOWNSTREAM END OF PIPES
		Row Mars
	b.	Condition of Service Spillway BITUMINAUS MATERIAL REMOVED FOR
		ENTIRE LENGTH OF PIPES - SOME MINOR RUSTING OF PIPES IN
		SPOTS WHERE BITUMINOUS MATERIAL HAS BEEN REMOUED.
		BITUMINOUS PAVING - BELATIVELY INTACT ALONG PIPE INVEST; BITUMINOUS CONTACT
		ON UPPER PORTION OF ALL PIPES - SATISFACTORY


APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOILS UNDER AREAS Reservoir Drain/Outlet Type: Pipe V Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other UNKNOWN Size: 8"-10" (Believed To Be This Size Invert Elevations: Entrance UNKNOWN Exit Physical Condition (Describe): Unobservable V Material: Joints: Alignment Structural Integrity:	Type: Pipe V Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other UNKNOWN Size: 8"-10"(8elieved To Be This Size Invert Elevations: Entrance UNKNOWN Exit Physical Condition (Describe): Unobservable V Material: Joints: Alignment Structural Integrity:	Type: Pipe V Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other WKNOWN Size: 8"-10" (Believed To Be This Size Invert Elevations: Entrance UNKNOWN Exit Physical Condition (Describe): Unobservable V Material: Joints: Alignment Structural Integrity:
APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOILS UNDER DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPA AREAS Reservoir Drain/Outlet Type: Pipe V Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other UNKNOWN Size: 8"-10" (Believed To Be This Size Invert Elevations: Entrance UNKNOWN Exit Physical Condition (Describe): Unobservable V Material: Joints: Alignment Structural Integrity:	APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOILS UNDER DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPPORTANTS Reservoir Drain/Outlet Type: Pipe V Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other UNKNOWN Size: 8"-10" (Believed To Be This Size Invert Elevations: Entrance UNKNOWN Exit Physical Condition (Describe): Unobservable V Material: Joints: Alignment Structural Integrity:	Type: Pipe V Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other WKNOWN Size: 8"-10" (Believed To Be This Size Invert Elevations: Entrance UNKNOWN Exit Physical Condition (Describe): Unobservable V Material: Joints: Alignment Structural Integrity:
APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOIAS UND DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPA AREAS Reservoir Drain/Outlet Type: Pipe V Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other UNKNOWN Size: 8"-10" (BELIEVED TO BE THIS SIZE Invert Elevations: Entrance UNKNOWN Exit Physical Condition (Describe): Unobservable V Material: Joints: Alignment	APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOILS UNDER DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPPORT AREAS Reservoir Drain/Outlet Type: Pipe V Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other UNKNOWN Size: 8"-10" (Believed To Be This Size Invert Elevations: Entrance UNKNOWN Exit Physical Condition (Describe): Unobservable V Material: Joints: Alignment	Type: Pipe / Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other UNKNOWN Size: 8"-10"(BELIEVED TO BE THIS SIZE Invert Elevations: Entrance UNKNOWN Exit Physical Condition (Describe): Unobservable / Material: Joints: Alignment
APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOIDS UND DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPA AREAS Reservoir Drain/Outlet Type: Pipe V Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other UNKNOWN Size: 8"-10" (Believed To Be This Size Invert Elevations: Entrance UNKNOWN Exit Physical Condition (Describe): Unobservable V	APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOIAS UNDER DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPPORTED AREAS Reservoir Drain/Outlet Type: Pipe V Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other UNKNOWN Size: 8"-10" (Believed To Be This Size Invert Elevations: Entrance UNKNOWN Exit Physical Condition (Describe): Unobservable V	Type: Pipe V Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other UNKNOWN Size: 8"-10" (BELIEVED TO BE THIS SIZE Invert Elevations: Entrance UNKNOWN Exit Physical Condition (Describe): Unobservable V
APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOILS UNDER DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPARES Reservoir Drain/Outlet Type: Pipe V Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other UNINOWN Size: 8"-10" (BELIEVED TO BE THIS SIZE Invert Elevations: Entrance UNINOWN Exit Physical Condition (Describe): Unobservable	APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOIDS UNDER DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPPORTED AREAS Reservoir Drain/Outlet Type: Pipe V Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other UNKNOWN Size: 8"-10" (BELIEVED TO BE THIS SIZE Invert Elevations: Entrance UNKNOWN Exit Physical Condition (Describe): Unobservable V	Type: Pipe V Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other UNKNOWN Size: 8"-10" (BELIEVED TO BE THIS SIZE Invert Elevations: Entrance UNKNOWN Exit Physical Condition (Describe): Unobservable
ONTO CONCRETE APRON THEN OVER A 3' DROP TO BOULDER APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOILS UNDO DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPA AREAS Reservoir Drain/Outlet Type: Pipe V Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other UNKNOWN Size: 8"-10" (BELIEVED TO BE THIS SIZE Invert Elevations: Entrance UNKNOWN Exit	APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOILS UNDER DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPPORTED BY Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other UNKNOWN Size: 8"-10" (BELIEVED TO BE THIS SIZE Invert Elevations: Entrance UNKNOWN Exit	Type: Pipe V Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other UNKNOWN Size: 8"-10" (BELIEVED TO BE THIS SIZE Invert Elevations: Entrance UNKNOWN Exit
ONTO CONCRETE APRON THEN OVER A 3' DROP TO BOULDER APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOIDS UNDO DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPA AREAS Reservoir Drain/Outlet Type: Pipe V Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other UNKNOWN Size: 8"-10" (BELIEVED TO BE THIS SIZE	ONTO CONCRETE APRON THEN OVER A 3' DROP TO BOULDERS APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOIDS UNDE DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPPO AREAS Reservoir Drain/Outlet Type: Pipe V Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other UNKNOWN Size: 8"-10" (BELIEVED TO BE THIS SIZE	Type: Pipe V Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other UNKNOWN Size: 8"-10"(BELIEVED TO BE THIS SIZE
ONTO CONCRETE APRON THEN OVER A 3' DROP TO BOULDER APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOIAS UNDE DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPA AREAS Reservoir Drain/Outlet Type: Pipe Conduit Other	ONTO CONCRETE APRON THEN OVER A 3' DROP TO BOULDERS APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOILS UNDE DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPPO AREAS Reservoir Drain/Outlet Type: Pipe	rvoir Drain/Outlet Type: Pipe / Conduit Other Material: Concrete Metal Other
ONTO CONCRETE APRON THEN OVER A 3' DROP TO BOULDER APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOILS UNDE DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPA AREAS Reservoir Drain/Outlet Type: Pipe	ONTO CONCRETE APRON THEN OVER A 3' DROP TO BOULDERS APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOIDS UNDE DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPPO AREAS Reservoir Drain/Outlet Type: Pipe	rvoir Drain/Outlet Type: Pipe Conduit Other
ONTO CONCRETE APRON THEN OVER A 3' DROP TO BOULDER APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOIDS UNDE DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPA AREAS Reservoir Drain/Outlet	ONTO CONCRETE APRON THEN OVER A 3' DROP TO BOULDERS APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOIDS UNDE DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPPO AREAS Reservoir Drain/Outlet	rvoir Drain/Outlet
ONTO CONCRETE APRON THEN OVER A 3' DROP TO BOULDER APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOIRS UNDE DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPA AREAS	ONTO CONCRETE APRON THEN OVER A 3' DROP TO BOULDERS APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOILS UNDE DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPPORT AREAS	
ONTO CONCRETE APRON THEN OVER A 3' DROP TO BOULDER APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOIRS UNDE DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPA	ONTO CONCRETE APRON THEN OVER A 3' DROP TO BOULDERS APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOIDS UNDE DOWNSTREAM TOE OF APRON - CREATING SMALL UNSUPPO	
APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOILS UNDE	APRON IN GOOD CONDITION - SOME MINOR VOILS UNDE	
ONTO CONCRETE APRON THEN OVER A 3' DROP TO BOULDER	ONTO CONCRETE APRON THEN OVER A 3' DROP TO BOULDERS	
d Condition of Discharge Conveyance Charge WATER From From Proce		
- -	-)

9)	Structural

a .	Concrete Surfaces SATISFACTORY- No SPALLING OR SURFACE
	DETERIORATION NOTED
٥.	Structural Cracking SERIOUS CRACKING ON DOWNSTREAM HEADWALL
	CRACKS OF UPTO 3" IN WIDTH NOTED (SEE DIAGRAM BELOW)
2.	Movement - Horizontal & Vertical Alignment (Settlement) Movement
	OF DOWNSTREAM HEADWALL HAD RESULTED IN 3" GAPS BETWEEN
	PIPE CROWN & THE WALL
đ.	Junctions with Abutments or Embankments 1" CRACKS NOTED BETWEEN
	DOWNSTREAM APRON & SIDEWALLS - SOME LOSS OF GONCRETE

CONDITION OF DOWNSTREAM HEADWALL

IN THIS AREA



1

· · · · · · · · · ·

	Joints - Construction, etc. NONE
	Foundation Good
	Abutments OKAV
_	Control Gates <u>Unarservarle</u>
	Approach & Outlet Channels SATISFACTORY
	Energy Dissipators (Plunge Pool, etc.) BOULDERS - DOWNSTA
)	Intake Structures <u>UPSTREAM HEAD WALL IN GOOD CONDI</u> NO CRACKING OR SEPARATION
	Stability
	Miscellaneous

10)	App	urtenant Structures (Power House, Lock, Gatehouse, Other)
	a.	Description and Condition
		HYDROELECTRIC STATION - USED TO BE
		ON LEFT OF SPILLWAY- NO LONGER ANY TRACE
		OF THE BUILDING OR FOUNDATION - ALL THAT
		REMAINS IS AN OVERSTEEPENED SECTION
		OF SLOPE
	_	
11)		ation Procedures (Lake Level Regulation):
		STOP LOGO ASE PUT IN FRONT OF EACH OF PIPE
		RCHES TOWARDS END OF SPRING RUN OFF- THIS
	H	OLDS TO WATER SURFACE UP ABOUT 10". THEY CAN
	TH	EN BE REMOVED TO ALLOW A. FLOW OF WATER IN THE
	٥٥	WASTREAM CHANNEL - THE BOARDS ARE REMOVED
		TER LABOR DAY & THE WATER LEVEL USUALLY FALLS
	B	LATE OCTOBER

APPENDIX C

HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC ENGINEERING DATA AND COMPUTATIONS

CHECK LIST FOR DAMS HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC ENGINEERING DATA

AREA-CAPACITY DATA:

		Elevation (ft.)	Surface Area (acres)	Storage Capacity (acre-ft.)
1)	Top of Dam	1466.9	287	2464
2)	Design High Water (Max. Design Pool)			
3)	Auxiliary Spillway Crest			
4)	Pool Level with Flashboards			
5)	Service Spillway Crest	1462.0	287	957

DISCHARGES

		Volume (cfs)
1)	Average Daily	
2)	Spillway @ Maximum High Water	420
3)	Spillway @ Design High Water	
4)	Spillway @ Auxiliary Spillway Crest Elevation	
5)	Low Level Outlet	UNKNOWN
6)	Total (of all facilities) @ Maximum High Water	420
7)	Maximum Known Flood	
8)	At Time of Inspection	1-2 cfs

CREST:	ELEVATION: 1466.9
Type: PAVED ROADWAY	
Width: 20.5'	Length:
Spillover THREE PIPE- 1	PRCHES
Location UPPER MIDDLE F	ORTION OF DAM
SPILLWAY:	
SERVICE	AUXILIARY
1462	Elevation
3 44"x72" PIPE ARCHES	
	Width
Туре	of Control
Ur	controlled
	ontrolled:
	Туре
(Flashb	oards; gate)
	Number
	ze/Length
	t Material
Antici of oper	pated Length ating service
Che	ite Length
	ween Spillway Crest
••	ch Channel Invert

3

HYDROMETEROLOGICAL GAGES:
Type: None
Location:
Records:
Date
Max. Reading -
FLOOD WATER CONTROL SYSTEM: Warning System: None
Method of Controlled Releases (mechanisms):
RESERVOIR DRAIN PIPE - ALTHOUGH IT IS
BELIEVED TO BE INOPERABLE

PRAINAGE AREA: 8.62 SQ MI 5520 ACRES	
DRAINAGE BASIN RUNOFF CHARACTERISTICS: Land Use - Type: FOREST	
Terrain - Relief: STEEP - MOUNTAINOUS	
Surface - Soil: THIN TILL OVER ROCK	
Runoff Potential (existing or planned extensive alterations to (surface or subsurface conditions)	existing
Non E	
Potential Sedimentation problem areas (natural or man-made; pres	
Potential Backwater problem areas for levels at maximum storage including surcharge storage: NONE - ONE SMALL POOL NEAR DOWNS	
TOE CAUSED BY BACKWATER	
Dikes - Floodwalls (overflow & non-overflow) - Low reaches alo Reservoir perimeter:	ng the
Location: NONE	
Elevation:	
Reservoir:	
Length @ Maximum Pool	(Miles)
Length of Shoreline (@ Spillway Crest)	(Mfles)

PROJECT GRID

OB C	_			,			7				A 1	17.1	10	7					SHE	ET I	NO.			СН	CKE	DB	Y		DA	TE		
GA	<u>R</u>	N E	: (<u></u>	NA	E	<u>U</u>	An	\		N	Y 1	16	/										CO	MPU	TED	BY		DΑ	TE		
WA																									RL	س			5	3/3	131	,
T										<u> </u>																						
\Box																																
SNY	, 7	ε	6	S	171	7	WE	71	E	l	1/1	17		H	2	RO	61	2 /3	PK		PA	RA	m	= 7	EA	2 5			Г			
						Г																			Г	<u>, </u>			Г			
	7																							Г								
1-1		Z	F/	6.	Z	IN)/	5	210	-7	1	2	37	230	nz	F	-		١,	12	FY	;										
	\neg								Η,		1											_		Г					Г	П		
				Γ.				Γ		_				Г								Г		Г		<u> </u>						
		L	ζ,	1	3,0	1,4	7	15	21°		1	J	15	63	of O	-	->	2	.9	6	M;			Г				Г	Г			
	_	_	•			_			l ' '	-	7								Ť			-	_	Г		Г		1			П	
					Ī																-			Г								
\Box	7						Г	Г	Г					Г										Г							\Box	
Us	E		۲,	= ;	2.0	25		 	70	1	Ac.	ou	NY	F	Ć.P	5-	NP	SA		N A	0 11	M	الو	Δ	#/	nor	Po	NI	Г	П		
11			Г'	Г		-		1		<u> </u>	,					ر سے ج)F	7	WE	Δ	A M	~			<u> </u>		Г	М		
11	1				1	<u> </u>								Ť	_	عد		,		<u> </u>	٦		,,,						Γ	М	П	
LA	94		7,	m	- (H	25	:	+,	Ţ	ŧ (۲,	7	L,	κZ	C 0	٦,	3				-			_	_	\vdash		Т	П		
 			Ė	Ť	<u> </u>			Ť	۲	_		-	Ť	Ė		-7	_	\Box			-			\vdash			Г			П	П	
11	1			\vdash		\vdash				=	2.0	25	(6.1	2.	2.9		-	-	4.	8	3	H	RS	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		T	П		
11	7						_							Ť						Ť	-	<u> </u>	_	_	-		-		_			
11	╗									-				-							<u> </u>											_
MI	7	A	R	W	FA	1/		Δu	P A	7	94	1	7	Vo	۲۷	•		+,	=	1				1	Г					П	П	
7	_	-	,,	-		-		<u> </u>	-	Ť	<u> </u>		_	אנו	رد			.,		5,	5			_		_	H		Г		П	
† †	7	_						\vdash	Г	—		4.	83	_	_		_										<u> </u>			П	П	
1-1-	_				Ħ					Tr	-	-	.5	_	<u>a</u>	٥.	8	5	4	_	 t	15	Ē	O,	50	// .	< 5	1	Г	П	П	
1	7							\vdash		_			-									-	_		_		-				П	_
	╗	_																						<u> </u>					Г	П	П	
IDJI	יט	7	٥٥	1	Z.	20	7	t _m	_	7,	Va	<u>5</u>]		7	٥;		4	7		.2	5	7	, -	7,	7							
						_		,				7.		_			1			<u> </u>	Ī		ř-			\vdash			T	П		
11		_		Г	<u> </u>																					Г						
	┪									Т			_	_]"	Ţ	/.x	7	+	0	25	570	5, 5	0	F	۶,۵	X			П		
	┪			Т																		1	ľ				Ť				Πİ	
11	7			<u> </u>		T		\Box			T	П		7	P.		1.	74				\Box								М		
\top					Г			Γ	Γ	Γ		П					_									Г			Γ	Г	П	
EA	M	///	6	7	06	-	10	1E	W	-														Г						П	П	
 	٦			Ť		Γ,	_		Ť																				Γ	П	П	
\top	7	U	5 €		C.	Ξ	٥	6	2.5				,													Г			Γ	\sqcap	П	
\prod	\dashv	_							Γ																			П	Γ	П		
\top	7																													П	\Box	
JAM	ų,	A	۲۷	-	P	NF																							Γ	П		
\prod																								Г								
$\prod I$	R	EA	•	N	M	R	4		3					7	OA	یج					IN	Δ	FX	A	M	7	17	3				
					Ĺ																											
AC	7	U	7	m	ĒΝ	7	Fo	R					Δ	8	T	3 V				6			12		Ž			48		12		
		E	4		A																											
T				Ι				\Box			Г	O	9 0			Δ			-7	11		7	23	<u> </u>	13		_	14	Ы		_	

PROJECT GRID

JOB G/ SUBJEC	n R	NE	7	L	A H	Ę	D	9m				٨	ΙΥ	11	67	7			SHE	2					CKE				DA.				
SUBJEC	T		_	. 114	- ^		D 2	۵.	Δ.	w 4	- -	· - ·					-								REL		BY		DA.	/3	10		
	4 /	٤	K 3	72	_	<u> </u>			<u>''</u>	1-	<u> </u>	~ /	(>		Τ_			_	_	_				F	<u>\ </u>	<u>~</u>				<u> </u>	/ *	\leftarrow	
	_	_	H	\vdash	-	_	-	-	-	-	-			H	-	<u> </u>	-	\vdash	<u> </u>	-				Н	\vdash	_	_	-	┢	_		\vdash	ᅱ
DRA	//	'A	5,2	7	R	Z 4	-	-	7	AL	727	1/	F	6	1~		V	-	7	5	m	. 11	1)7	1,1		75	6	5	0	JA.		54	
-				1		-/			Ħ		-	, T	Ť		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	-		-			Г		<u> </u>		1	7			Ħ
								0	VA		۸i/	m	5	-	7	411	27	EE	W	TH	Z	RI	12						Γ			П	\neg
																																П	
															N	R	Ή	(R£	Ē	K												
								L	L							L			L	L													
			L.,						L					L	H_{I}	9R	RI	8	1R	6							_		L			Ц	╝
				L			L				_								_											L			
					L	L			_	_	_	_	_	L.	LA	K		U	EE	R	WE								L		<u> </u>	Щ	_
_ _				L			L_	_	<u> </u>	_		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		_				<u> </u>							, ,			_		L	\sqcup	_
_ _		2	91	11	E	7	R.	ΕC	_	AA	E	<u> </u>	_	L	<u> </u>				\perp	NA	٩	5	EA.	ايل	Щ	!"	8	5 2	10	E	7	\sqcup	_
\rightarrow	_				_	_	_	_	L		52	10	<u></u>	5	13	1	L	-	_ .		_					_					_	\sqcup	4
	_	_			8,	8	6_	111	Ľ		ت	4	35				=	5	2	10	МС	RE	S	%	8	<u>. 6</u>	2	Şφ	٠,	1.		\sqcup	_
	_	\dashv			L	_		ļ	ļ_	_	_				_		_		L.					_				_	<u> </u>		_	\sqcup	_
	_			_	_		_		┞	ļ	_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>				<u> </u> -	_	<u> </u>	_			L			L	_		-	-	4
Bas	ϵ	_	7	٥٧	<u>;</u>	<u> </u>	_	├	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	L	<u> </u>	_	_	L	<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>								_			\vdash	4
		-	Щ			_	Ļ	١.	_	l no	_	_	<u> </u>	_	_	_	_	_	⊢	_	-	_	_	\vdash	Щ	_				_		\vdash	\dashv
\dashv		- 2	17	124		N	Τ.	1	13	//		F &	2	7.	_	-			-	_	-		_	H	_			_	-	_	-	₩	
				_	-	-	-	-	┝	}-	-	_	-	┝	-		_	-	├	<u> </u>	-			┝					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Н	{
Q	5		7	-	-,	7		%	 	_	D.		_	$\overline{}$	\vdash	-	<u> </u>	-	 	_		_	_	\vdash	_		<u> </u>	-	-	-		\vdash	
141	7	. <u>7</u> /	V	F	• 1	1	10	10	10	F	FE	A/	Γ_	9	 	\vdash	<u> </u>	_	├			_		\vdash	-	_		_	├			\vdash	⊣
		-			-	\vdash	-	╁	├	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	_	├	_	ļ	-		\vdash		_			-	_	_		
ام	7,	_		Li	7	_	┝	-	├	┝	_	-	_	┝	-	-	\vdash	\vdash	┝	_			_	\vdash		_	_		-	-	-	$\vdash \vdash$	⊣
-17	11	5		-		۲	_	\vdash	┢	-	\vdash	\vdash	-	┝	-	-	<u> </u>		┝	-			_	\vdash	-		-	_	┢─	_	\vdash	\vdash	ᅥ
- - 	_		-	<u> </u>	_	╁	-		┢	-	⊢	\vdash	-	-	\vdash		\vdash		├─				_	\vdash	-		_				-		\dashv
	2 4	₹.	- <	7	7	\		1/4	ہے یا	,	-/	AI	7/	Δi			<u> </u>	-			-			_	-				\vdash		_	\vdash	ᅥ
1	-	-		1	-	-		1/2	-	<u>د</u>	<u> </u>	/0		Ť					Н	-			_	П		-		_	\vdash	_	_	\vdash	⊣
++					14	17	VA		7.	٥	_			7	h 4	5	70	4/7		Ö.	1			Н					Н	_	-	\vdash	ᅥ
1					114	1	1	<u> </u>	Ħ		┪		-		7		7.	7		•	 								1			\Box	┪
+						\vdash	┪	\vdash	┢		-		_			_	_							М				Н					
									T						T				Г													П	\neg
			Г						T																								\dashv
_							Г		Г																				Г			\sqcap	ᆌ
				П												П								П	П				П				٦
1									Γ																				П				\dashv
																																	\Box
																																	\exists
											Γ																						
								_			L	اا				تـــا																$ldsymbol{ld}}}}}}}$	

PROJECT GRID

100			V E 7	•		<u></u>		Na.	 M							41	116	— 7		SHE	3	NO.			СНЕ	CKE	D B	ν -		DA	TE			
SU	BJE	CT.																						\dashv	CO	MPU	TED	ВУ		DA	TE			
l	HY	10	RA	04	سر ا		۵ء	M É	0.	TA	¥	1	1/5											-	}	RL	w.			8	1/3	18	1	1
\vdash	_	Ť	Ť	Ť	Ī			Ť		Г	7-		_		,			T		_		T							Π	1-9	<u>حت</u>			М
-	-	-	+	-	1	├-	-	 	-	-	+-	-	-	-	\vdash	├	-	┢	-	├-	├	_	-	Н	┝╌		-		├	-	├-	┼	╁	\vdash
⊢	-		╀╌	le.	 -	<u> </u>	-	╁─	X	<u> </u>	-	-	-	-	┢	├	├	├-	-	├	├	├—	-	-	-	-	├	├	┼	├	├		├	$\vdash\vdash$
<u> </u>	27	46	٤	3	Lo	24	ĊΞ	↓_	2	LΖ	K_	_		ļ.,	<u> </u>	_	ļ.,	-	-	L_	<u> </u>	_	L.,		L.,	_	<u> </u>	L.	<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>	ـ	<u> </u>	\vdash
		L	上	_	L	L	L	L		L	L				L	L.	L	L	L	L	L	_						<u>_</u>	<u>L</u>			L	L	
		R	ŧ-∈	•	U	50	\$ 5	1	115	W	W	07	=	(Dι	A	RI	N	646	} -	-	7	VA	T	ĒΕ	W7	H	L	RH	تزا				
		Γ	Т	Γ	Г										Г										Γ					Γ				
		\vdash	+-	\vdash	╁	1	1	1		┢	1		_	1	 	_	_	 	-	_		 					-	-		1	 	 		Н
6			1		╁	 -	2	-	-	┝	7	-	7		-	17	(-3	Ι\	+=	۲,	~		-	•		5	o	-	h -	-	_	-	╁	\vdash
14	A	¥ / /	ΝE	T £	RE	1	36	RE	ĄC	٤.	NB	EA	(2	<u>ΖΕ</u>	V.	17	64	1	-	15	4	6	11	٠	Ξ	2	0	1	UC.	K	<u> </u>	├	 	Н
<u>L</u>		L		_	L		L	L_		L	_		_		L	_		<u> </u>		L_	<u> </u>						L	L		L	L,	<u> </u>		
		İ_		ļ	İ			1		1	1	l	l	ĺ	l		-	ł	1	l	1	1						1	1	ł	1			
Г	۲,	0		=	F.F.		<u> </u>	1	12	$\overline{}$	C	10	7	(1)	,		0	λ.	. ,,	12	-	0.	09	٥, ٨, ٥	7	- (7	3/	1/2	5	40	15	Wa	RZ
m	-	T -	' '	1	+-	<u> </u>	Ē	† <u> </u>	<u> </u>	ř	 	<u> </u>	 	ان ا	Υ-	<u> </u>	T-	Γ.	Ε''	1	 			-	—		H		- -	 	<u> </u>	Ť	۲٦	
 	<u> </u>	┼-	+-	+-	╀	-	 	+		-	+-	 	-	-	-	-	 	-	-		1,	 \		<u> </u>	 	-			-	├─	├	-	\vdash	\dashv
<u> </u>		├-	ـ		↓	├-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 	<u> </u>	 			-	├	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	N	SA	6	. N		Ш	\Box	<u> </u>		L	-		\vdash	
<u></u>		1	1	$oxed{oxed}$			_			L									<u></u>															
		1			[]	1	1	[[i -					_	_																
																														Γ				
	7	_	1	1.	10		٨.		,,,	<u>_</u>	_	-		_	-	1	<u> </u>	 		 	\vdash	-			H	$\vdash \vdash$	-		-		 	-	-	\dashv
	٦	Ρ/	44	Ψ /	17	-4	073	<u>></u> د	γΛ.	<u> </u>	€_	<u>_</u> /	<u> </u>	ڪا	~	<i>7</i> _		<u> </u>	-	-	-	-	\vdash		Н		_	-	-	-	_			-
_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	┞-	<u> </u>	_		<u> </u>		ᆫ	L	•		_	L.	<u>_</u>	Ļ_	<u></u>		 		_		,,				ļ		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L		
		Ľ	<u>L</u>	<u>L</u>	17	HR	ϵ	<u>-</u>	P	PE	1-1	YR	CH	S	1 8	EA	K 4	ł	0,	12	1	4	14	″	X	7	2	//	}	1	_	}		
		Γ		Π	1												Γ																	\Box
Г	_						1.	11/2	4		-	ΣV	0.7	24	=	7,,	,	ŧ.,	, =	7		F	4	41	7	. 0				┢	$\overline{}$	_		\neg
\vdash	-	 -	+-	 	+-	-	1/2	VE	R.	 `	-			0	-	772		77	15	-	2~	-	9 c U s	~		7		_	111	1,	├	├-		\dashv
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	├	┼	⊢	├-	-	-	43	20	1	Δ	\vdash	<u>a</u>	0	₹_	4	1/	£	4	EV	56	1	¥	G.	2.9	: 4	EV.	<u> </u>	17	٤	بالإ	_		-
		<u>.</u>	1_	Ļ	ļ.,		_	L.,		_	_	L		L	L.		_	_										_		L				_
		Ĺ_	L	C_A	PA	k/7	-4	(A4	رے	126	7	0.4	5		B	MS.	ER		N		No	m	١٥.	A	24	r)	h/ f	FOZ	40	w	NG	P	16
		i		Γ^-	Π																								Γ	Г				
Г		_		\vdash	1										\vdash	_				_					7			7	2	101	5		Н	ヿ
┝	7	+	 	┢╾	╁	-	+	W		┢	\vdash		-	_	-	۳	_	_	7	Ų			\vdash	\dashv	3	1,	۷_	-				-	\vdash	\dashv
<u> </u>	= 1	ΕŲ	4_	_	-	├			9	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	L.,	17)	S		9.R	G €	(2				3	P	PE	<u> </u>		3	7	_	Ш	_
			_	_	L		L	L.,		L	L			L,	L	L,	_	L.										L		乚			Ш	_]
1	M	6 Z	1]	1		\	D								(D										4							
			T	Γ	Γ																											_	\Box	\neg
	14	64	1	_	<u> </u>		-	4		\vdash			_	_	-	40	_			-	Н	_	\vdash		Н	\vdash		0	_	 	 	 	\vdash	⊣
\vdash	П	97	+-	-	├		-	~	۴.	├	-	\vdash	-	\vdash	 -	ידין	_با	-	-	\vdash			\vdash		\vdash		۲4	-0	├	-	 	├	$\vdash \vdash$	{
_ _		 	<u> </u>	 	 		_	_ _	Щ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Ь		_	┡	_	<u> </u>	 		H	Щ	Щ	\vdash	_4	Ш		Ш		_	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	Ш	_
	4	6	_		<u></u>		.8	18		L_		Ш				76						لـــا			Ш		2	8						
		1	1]																					$ \ $									7
П	14	60	£					29							1	2							П		\Box		2 3	0			М	\Box	\sqcap	╗
		<u>۳</u>	1	-	 	-	~	۲	Н	-		Н	_	-	-	۲		_	\vdash	Н	-		Н		Н	\dashv		لاءً	-	\vdash	\vdash	-	\vdash	\dashv
\vdash	./•	-	10	-	 	-	 		\vdash	├		\vdash			- -			\vdash	\vdash	Н	\vdash	Н		{	\vdash				 	-	┝╼┥	 	┝╼┥	-1
Щ	14	þξ	19	!	┞	_	4	34	Ш	<u> </u>	\vdash	$\vdash \dashv$		L	Щ	40	!	<u> </u>	Щ	Ш	Ш	Щ					72	0	<u> </u>	L.,	\sqcup	-	┙	
\Box		1_	L		_		L			L_	نــا				أسلا															L]
				-	1																													乛
П									Н								П			Н			\vdash	\neg			\dashv	_				М	\vdash	\dashv
Н	_	Η-	+-	-	 	\vdash	 	 	-	-	 	$\vdash \dashv$		-	-	-	\vdash	\vdash	Н	Н		-	H		Н	-	\vdash	-	Н	-	\vdash	 	┝╌┤	
$\vdash\dashv$		├	┼-	-	-	Н				<u> </u>		\vdash		-	\vdash	_	\vdash	_	Н	\sqcup			\vdash	_				Щ		<u> </u>	 -	 	\vdash	_
Ш		L.		<u> </u>						L.,	Ш						Ш										_			لبا	Ш			\
		L			L	L												L]								ͺͳ	Т							
				_																						7								\neg
П		Т		Г	┪	М		М		_	М		_						М	Н	\vdash	-		\dashv	-	-	-		Н	М		-	 	ᅥ
	_	_							ليبا	_	L	لـــا			ــــا	لحب		L	لسا	لسا	لب	لب							Ц		ليا			


CHART 6

(1) 3 - 20 619 2.0 .7.2 *0 'n te-SIZE OF PIPE ARCHS ON GARNET LAKE

PROMATER DEPTH FOR C. A. PIRE-170H GULVERTA WITH H. LET CONTRO!

REF. FHWA HECNO, 6 12/65
HIZRAULIC CHARTS FOR THE SELECTION OF
HIGHWAY COLVERTS"

The state of the s



PLCCO PURCHAPH PACKAGI (HIC DAM SAFETY MIRSION SULY I	RAPH PACK.	PACHAGI CHIC	1976							NEW YORK	SK SIATE	A TE		• •
POOLFILD FOR HONEYHELL APR	OR HONEYL	ELL APR	15							FLUDE	RCTECT	ON BURE	FLOOE > R (TECTION BUREAL	
	14 44 45	SARNE!	LAKE DI	E DAP NY 1167 AND PYIRALLICS ANALYSIS	ANALY	SIS				:	•		*****	:
7 ቀ γη	# B # 3	1 PPF AN	PPF ANALYSIS 150 5	30	€.		5	6	۵	ø	0	0		
v a	J.	~	•								•	:		
•	2	• 26	13.	. 50	1.0									
•	¥	•	1											
g.	X X	KI INFLOW	HYDRCGRJFF	1361					•					
10	æ	-	7	8.62										
11	٩		17.5	1111	123	1 32	142	8			-			
12	-													
1.3	2	4.7.	.625						5	 •	i			
•:	×	•	:-	3 - 1				٠			•			
15	¥	-	-			~					,	,		
16	X.	RCUTED	HYDR CGR JP	1P1		•		-	-		:	:	!	
1.1	-				-	-			-	•.				
1. I.	7.1					•		-1462		7				
19	*	1462	1060	14 65	1466	1466.9		•		•	•	:	:	
26	4.00	•	120	2.28		426					•·			
21	8.4	ø	267	4.6								: : • !		
22	\$	1452	1462	14 80										
23	**	1462												
24	\$01	\$01466.9	3.1	1.5	27.0						1			
25	×	55								•	:	•		
26	∢													
2.3	∢										•			
28	•			•					٠				;	
5è	∢					•								
30	∢											!	:	

JLLY 1976 FLOOC HYDHOGHAPH PACKAGE (HEC-1) DAM SAFLTY VERSION JILY 1976
LAST MODIFICATION 26 FEB 79
MODIFIED FOR MONEYMELL APR 79

DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

NEW YORK STATE

FLOOD PRITECTION BUREAU

. RUN DATE 08/04/81

GARNET LAKE CAM PY 1167 Hycrology and Hycfaulics analysis Paf analysis

NST AN IP RT TPL T FFTRC 0 TRACE JCR SPECIFICATION I LROPT KET IDAY JOPER N P I P 3C N I R

HULTI-PLAN ANALYSES TO BE PERFORMED NPLAN= 1 NRTIC= 4 LRTIO= 1 .27 0.50 1.00

0.27 0.26 RTIOS= SUB-ARFA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

** ** * * * * * *

** ** * * * * * * * *

IAUTC INAME ISTAGE JPRI 114 ITAPE 0 I EC ON ICOMP B INFLOW HYDROGRAPH ISTAG

LCCAL MC NS I RATIC HYDROGRAPH DATA TRSDA TRSPC 8.62 14 FEA 8 - 62 1CHG IHYCG

R4H 142.00 812 R24 123.00 132.00 PRECIP DATA SPFE PPS R6 0. 17.50 111.00 TRSPC COMPLTED BY THE PRGGRAM IS 0.80 C

RIINP ALSMX 0. CNS1L 0 • 10 STRTL 1.00 715 1.8 LOSS CATA STRKS era iv O. RT 10L 1 .00 OL 1KH 0. STRKR 0.

UNIT HYDRCGRAPH DATA 16

SIRIG= 6.00 GRCSA= -0.10 RIIOR= 1.50 APPROXIMATE CLARK CCEFFICIENTS FROM GIVEN SNYDER CP AND TP ARE IC=10.65 AND R= 8.63 INTENVILS RECESSION DATA

VOL= 1.00 254. 4-71 HOURS, CF= 0.53 3 33. 1 04. 12. 10. 6 S9. 626. 371. 116. 35. 52 EPD-OF-PERICD ORDINATES, LAG=
190. 292. 407. 524.
585. 525. 467. 416.
180. 165. 147. 131.
56. 52. 46. 41.
116. 16. 14. UNIT HYDPOGRAPH 92. 262. 268. 20. 25. 727. 233.

755. 262. 82. 26.

Ç

40 M. 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	370. 355. 341. 324. 312. 302. 290. 278. 267. 267. 237. 227. 218. 210.	4 52 5
		T

22 D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D		C 5 0 t 2 t 2 t 2 t 2 t 2 t 2 t 2 t 2 t 2 t
		TOTAL W 10 11 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13
200-000 PA-000 P		-HOUR 1356. 17.30 17.30 17.50 17.50 10. 10. 10. 10. 11. 10. 11. 10. 14.27 25.50 25.50
3300000		P
200.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	16. 16. 16. 38. 59. 59. 145. 1325. 1325. 1325. 1325. 1325.	24-H0UR 2,46-09 104-09 104-09 104-09 110-09 110-09 110-09 104-0 105-06 1961-09 1961-09 1961-09
<i>~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~</i>	* សេលស្លាស្នាស្នាស្នាស្នាស្នាស្នាស្នាស្នា	6-H C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
3 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	PE AK 279 - 677 - 678 -
		C
7 # 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	665 665 665 665 665 665 665 665 665 665	1 100 S CC THOUS CC THOUS CC THOUS CC THOUS CC TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO
700000		75. 75. 75.
10000000000000000000000000000000000000	1000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	230

1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

.

						•			
	42.	145.	253	01.	524.			. 59°	743.
	062.	585.	525.	۶1،	116.			5.00	294.
	208.	185.	165.	17.	131.			1 04.	92.
	65.	5 .	52.	46.	+:		36.	32.	25.
23.	20. 18. 16. 6.	18.	16.		-:			10.	۳.

262. 82. 86. !

	COMPO	1095.	1922.	æ	M)	3106.	0	5097.	S	N	282	081	7	86E	m	5487°	8886.	8170.	42		16	5337	4769.	4261.	3868	3403.	3040	2714.	2423.	2161.	1527.	1717.	1529.	1362.	1212.	1080	910	606	Abb	832.	199.	761.	137.	707.	675	652.	626.	601.	517.	555	
	1055	9	<u>ي</u>	٠	٦		٠,	9	ن	٥,	9		٠,	٠,	9	9	Ü	٠	٠	60.0	٦,	٦,	;			.0			ن								•											:			
	Ü	8	7	~	7	•	မ	•	۳	8	9	•	0	9	0	9	0	0	9	0.01	0	•	•	•	•0		•		•				•		•	•	• •			•	•	•		0	.0	0	.0	•	•	0	•
	₹ ∀ ~		1.17	1.17	1 • 42	•	1.19	•			3 . (5			•		٠		٠	•	J. 16	•	•		ئب	•	•	• 0	9		;	,				•		• ·			•			3.		9					•	•
	PER I 00	16	11	13	13	60	£1	85	e s	ŧ	6,3	ę,	87	88	£9	20	15	6. 6.1	5.3	\$5	, 53	کر ت	15	85	6.5		101		103	1 64	105	105	101	104	, O. Y.	2 :	112	11.	114	ci I	110	117	113	£1.7	120	121	122	123	124	125	-
	÷	•	~;	٠.	F,	q	~	٩.	۳,	9	~;	9	9.3	0.0	0.3	1.0	1.3	2.0	2.3		3.3		7	•	"	0		•	7	9	M7	7	٣)	0	~? (2 -	7 6	, "		"	-	M2	•	7	0	P)	0	~?		۳) •	د
FLCh	MO.DA	3.00	1.02	•	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1,02	1.02	1.02	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	M 0	20.	2 6	50-6		0	1.33	1.03	1.03	1.03		1.03	1.03			1.03	
-PERIOD	đ.	.	7.	٦.		-:	• 9		•9		2,	ς.	S.	S	w.	•	•	÷	<i>÷</i>	÷	÷	, m	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	E	3.	2•	2.	2•	÷		,	23.	• • •	65.	0	89.	96.	100.	38.	93.	85.		69.	62.	,15.	. 6 4	. 4.
	os	9	•	•	٩.	00.0	9	9	9	•	9	0	9	9	0.01	9	10.0	0.01	•	0.01	٩,	•	٩,	0.01	್	9	9	•	•	9	9	7	~;	9	9 9	•	200	9	9	Ç	9	ä	٩,	9	•	9	٠.	÷	٩	9	0.04
	EXCS	;	:	:	;	:	្វ	:	ئ	•	ے	ئ		:	•	;	•	:	:	÷	j	្វ	ţ	;	;	;	ئ	•		:	j	:	*	_	: :	35	: 3	: :	: :	٤	;	ئ	٠,	:	;	j	;	÷	;	;	ئ
	=	9	•	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	0	6	9	•	9	•	9	ċ	3	٥,	9	ġ,	•	÷	9	e.	•	7	~	•	•	•	00.0	9		Ġ	٥.	٠.	e,	•	•	•		•	٩.	9	
	PER 100		8	۳,	•	វ	9	_	30	Q,	10	11	12	13	1	15	16	1.7	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	56	27	28	53	30	31	32	יים מיי	e u	ה ה	2.5	(E)	39	40	41	42	m) *	*	45	96	47	. 48			
	Ę	?	ç	٠,	ę	٠.	9	~	٠,	۳,	٦.	۳,	•	7	9	۳,	9	۳.	Ġ	9.3	9	0.3	1.0	1.3	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.3	?	5.6	5.0	5.5	9	ر د م	֝֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֡֓֓֓֓֓֓֡֓֓֓֡֓֡	? .	18.30	0.6	9.3	0.0	3.5	1.0	1.3	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	٠	٦,	9	1.30
0	ų	•		9	9	9	9	•	٠.	•	9	9		•	9	9	9	'n	ů	9	ç	9	ç	9	٠.	9	ü	٠,	9	٠,	9	٠	9	، ن	٠,٠	•	1001	9		9	٦.	9	9	٥.	9	9	۳.	Ġ	J	9	•

Salah Ber

3

	20 m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m	2661. 933. 933. 1832. 1822.		2	• • • •
		56 65 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		11 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	• • • • •
1114 -23 20 67- 25 50-	22.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2	5 26 60 . 25 . 25 . 25 . 25 . 25 . 25 . 25 . 2	USL LMI 513 EB. 14 71. 4 -67 118 -63 21 47. 25 48.	25. 27. 27. 27. 27. 21. 21. 21. 21. 21. 21. 21. 21. 21. 21	યુ વ્ય • •
	710 2 2 • 1 • 1 • • 1 9 •	25 2 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	TOTAL	RTIO 33 - 25 - 25 - 25 - 25 - 25 - 25 - 25 -	RTIO 4 6.
114.14 2667- 2550-	PLAN 19 R 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	22 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	72-HOUR 361, 10. 4.67 118-66 2147. 2648.	PLAN 1. R 3. 12. 12. 13. 13. 13. 13. 13. 13. 13. 13. 13. 13	PLAN 1, R 6.
14 3 · BC 1901 · 2344 ·	1 FOR 2.0	227	24-HOUR 995. 28. 4.30 109.10 1974. 2435.	2 - 1 F OR 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2	1 FCR 7.
10 fc.	Н АТ STA 2. 1. 1. 9.	23 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	6-HCJR 2265. 64. 2.45 62.10 1183.	23. 24. 25. 27. 27. 56. 66. 66. 66. 67. 67. 67. 67. 6	H AT STA 7.
	FY (RO GRAPH 2.1 2.1 2.1	24 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	PE AK 2664.	FY (ROGRAPH 4. 5. 4. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	FY ERO GRAPH 3.
AC-FT HOUS CL N	2777	11	CFS CMS INCPES MM AC-FT	14. 25. 25. 25. 25. 25. 25. 25. 25. 25. 25	~ in ·
	56.1.2	12. 125. 125. 125. 2399. 264. 264. 176.	ja-	20	່ານ

00000000000000000000000000000000000000	201.		
567. 2589. 9431. 3808. 1212. 707. 972.		IAUTC	
14 5.5 19 5.5 19 5.6 10 5.2 10	2 18. VUL UNE 524 35. 524 99. 17 31. 179 52.	LSTR LSTR C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	0 :
2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	227. TCTAL (S 7 OF S	0 0 0
145. 1045. 9081. 5327. 1755. 532. 532.	72-FOUR 1356- 17-30 439-45 7550-	T 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	000
51. 8587. 5976. 1927. 632. 555. 370.	24-HOUR 3686. 104. 15.91 404.09 7310.	TAPE TAPE TO DATA TO D	
2004. 7288. 6680. 2161. 866. 577. 385.	257. 6-HOJR 234. 239. 230.01 4161.	HYDROGRN HYDROGRN RES ROUTI	
50.5. 742.2. 742.2. 90.2. 60.3.	267. PE AK \$66. 279.	165 .00 165 .00 188 .C0 180 . 160 .	
5097. 8170. 2714. 939. 626.	CFS CRS INCFES BH AC-FT THOUS CL M	HYDRCGR, 120.05 6.0 120.00 187. 557. 1462. 1462.	
461. 403a. 8886. 3040. 978. 652. 435.	250.	62 - 08	•••
	RATIO 4	STAGE 14 FLOW SURFACE AREA= CAPACITY= ELEVATION=	9 0 ¢
3 • 4 4		ਕ	

<u>.</u>

END-JF-PERIOD HYDRCGRAPH ORDINATES STAFFON 1. PLAN 1. HAFTO 1

															i																																									
		•	ď		• ,	•		•9	30.	:	, (*	351.				61		-		7 20	- 0	* C	712	361.	767.	9711.		500	1872	17.0		2000) =	2067			462	462	162	462	462	1462.1	162	n	9	1466.7	99	99	ęę	1466.0	1465.1			
	•	0	0		• ,	•		ς,	25.	2	; ;	• > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >	• 12•	53		,		*	e ()	3		957	9 2 2 0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	* O C	100	, , ,	971.	, 7 E.I.	0.07	11 12 •			- FB C5	01.80°	20 78 •			rv ·	45.2	45.2	~ (152	452	200	45.		w v	9	9	9	1466.0	1465.1	:		
	0	0.	0.	: <u>-</u> -	: ,	•,	*	•	20.	167.	454		• 10	3 56.	i			367.	3.26	2 0.3		3 = 1.	9 6 6	, , ,	900	. 02.0	• • • •	11.6	176		21.47	20.00	24.85	22.53	21512	20 BA.			S)	(A)	[40.201]	145.201	~ (٥.	7	7.00.0		1406.0	140601	1466.7	1966.	146641	140 :: • 1			VOL UM?
	0	•0	0		•	• ,	•	•	17.	32	*		• / 1 •	86			- 1	370	7, 8	9		557	, 8's 6	0 4 0	. •	775	67.0	. 41.0	7 6	9 4	107	2454	1 10	303	201	2098.			9		20	1462.0		2 2	200	7 7	2	14664	100	99	a t	1455.	0			HOUR TCTAL
70	•	ះ	•			: -	.	÷ ;	14.	108.	362	* 1.7		+ 01.					2 4 4	•	GE	95	9.56	u	ı u	1 10			• ^	. ~	٠.			_	_	2108.		.,	2	707	2 2	2 2	707	1 46 2 91	200	40.4	145.5	1466.9	1456.7	1466.7		1.00.1	9			-22
CUTFLOW	0	•	.	•		,	• ,	•	15.	30	4	416		• 55			ì	0 4	0 P	•	STORA	57.	58	9	9.8	99	=	9.7.8	1014	1356.	22.29	2451	2410.	2322.	2222	2118.	•	9 Y C C	V C	2 2 4	9 0	204	2 2 4	145.24.1	1 C	1463-5		1466.5	1466.7	D 4	9 4	1465-8	3			13. 24-HOUR
•	•	•	•	•	,		• •	• (10.	74.	331.	415.		•			911		316.	1		957.	958.	95.8	95.8	965.	970	972	1006	1316.	2175.	2447.	411	2331.	2232.	2129.		4 6.0	4 ^	4 5	6 2 4	665	2 2	1462.1	462	E 3	466	466	9	9 4	9	· w	}	CURS		7. C.H.
	:	:		ċ				•,	••		_			•					316	1		95 7	957.	956.	956	96 4	976	_	995	2	2112.	*	42	ň	3	13		4.2	1462.0	62	462	462	462	1452 .1	452	463	465	466	466	9 9	9	1465.9)	IPE 53.5CH	Š	CFS 41
	:		•	•	1.	, er;		•		4	8	•	10	•			œ) U	323.			957.	957.	958.	958	963.	970.	971.	994.	1188.	2041.	2428.	2431.	2350.	2253	2149.		A 5. 2	1462.0	4.2	46.2	45.2	1462.0	1452.1	462	462	465	456	466	99	466	1465.9		417. AT TII		•
,	.	•	•	ċ		1		• •	•	87	262.	+ 05	412				188.	358	326.			957.	957.	958 •	958	562.		971.	989.	1141.	1961	2414.	2438.	2359.	2253.	2160.		1462.0	1462.0	1462.0	1402.0	1462.0	1462.0	1462.1	1462.1	1462.6	1455.3	1466.7	1466.8	1466.6	1466.3	1460.0		15		
																																							•									,						AK GUTFICH		
		٠																																																				¥		

72-HOUR TCTAL VOLUME 169. 24274.

6-HCJR 24-HOUR 450 . 399.

PE AK

CFS

468. AT TIME 53.00 HOURS

PEAK CUTFICH IS

~	
0	
_	
Ĭ	
_	
Ę	
٦	
٥	
•	
~	
z	
AT I ON	
-	
2	
2	

END-JF-PERIOD HYDROGRAPH ORDINATES

•0 VN•

72-HOUR TOTAL VOLUME 446. 642 63.

24-HOUR 1185.

6-HOJR 2365.

PE AK 365 2.

CFS

2	ORDINATES
1. FLM 1.	HYDRCGRAFH
NO 2 12 16	END -OF-PERICO

•0 VN•

	ò	å	;	•	• 0	.0	0	.0	0	ŏ
	•	•	3	•	0.	•	•	••		
	•	•	ኃ		•	3	•	1.		
	-1	1.	-		-	-	.1	1.		
	5 •	3.	. ;	*	*	÷	;	, C	5.	un
	S	หร		5.		.	• 9	•		
	•	4	•	•9	• 0	÷	æ	Э•	10.	11.
	13.	K1	_	20	23	N	32	39.	7	~
	73.	91.	=	2	209.	¥	3	381.	5	9 6 6
	1455.	1959.		m	63	ŗ	63	25 62	7	~
	2212.	2072.	2	75	9	24	•	1319.	215	126
	1041.	966.	=	3	33	745.	69	673.	641.	.0
:	585.	561.	~	-	500.	æ	469.	4 51,	43	•
	424.	419.	417.	414.	411.	o	4 05.	4 02.	355.	356
	393.	390.	.387.	89	380.	~	374.	3.70.	£ 7	364.
			-		CORA	, e				
	957.	957	45.7.	55.7.		, C	958	6.36	956	
	9.8	958	956	52.5 52.8	958	958	641	9.59	* US	
	959.	959	95 €	959.	~	959	959	959	5	
	959.	The state of	955.	959.	_	960.	961.	962.	64	65
	967.	969.	97 1.	973.	975.	916.	. 578.	919.	80	7
	981.	982.	985.	563.	_	964	435	3 64.	#	8
	984.	985.	985.	986.	987.	985	953.	997.	1001.	6
	1018.	1028.	1035.	1051.	Ğ	1086.	110	1143.	8) ()	233
	1310.	1401.	151 4.	1648.	1801.	1970.	2151.	2,5 39.	2523.	2653.
	2832.	2936.	2005	3055.	18	3085,	0.78	33 63.	3042.	;
,	2989.	2960.	2931.	2901.	12	2843	8 15	2788.	3	438
	2714.	2693.	2673.	2655.	G,	2624.	2610.	ď	2585.	5.7
	2563	2552	254 20	532	C	25.14.	2505	s	2488.	47
:	2471.	5462	5	4	2	2426	2416.	24 06.	. 5357.	3.6
	2376.	2366.	2356.	2345.	~	2324.	2313.	23 02.	. 2292	25
					SIAG	Ŀ				
	1962.0	1462.0	462.		452.0	1462	462.	146 5.0	•	
	1962.0	C	62	62	25	1462.0	6.2	1452.0	462	
:	1462.0	2	462	462.	452.	462	462.	14,200	5	14
	1462.0	N	462	462.	462.	462	462.	146 2.0	462.	462
	1462.0	N	452.	462.	462.	462	462.	155 2.1	462.	462
	1462.1	N	462	462.	452.	462	62.	146 2.1	462.	462
	1462.1	O.	•	62.	462.	462	62.	145 2.1	\$62.	462
	1462.2	1452.2		62	55	N	62.	145 2.1	452.	16.
	1463.2	•	463	9	464.	465	9:0	1456.5	;	ć٦
	1468.0	1458.3	1468.5	68	9	468	6	146 8.7		6.8
	1468.5	1458.4	1468.3	68	9.	4 6.8	68	145 7.3	;	6.7
	1467.7	1467.6	•	67	67.	~	67.	~	;	6 3
	1467.2	1467.2	1467.1	67	•	1467.1	63.	145.7.3	;	ę ę
	1466.9	9	•	99	99	1466.8	99	146 €.7	٠	99
	1466.6	1466.6	1466.6	1466.5	1456.5	1466.5	1466.4	140 6	1466.4	ø

72-HOUR TOTAL WOLLINE 1089. 1566 22.

CFS

FATIO 4	ORDINATES
1. PLAN 1.	HYDRCGRAPH
NOT IN IS	END-0F-PER 100

					CUTFLOW					
	•	.	. ئ	•	•	.		• •		-
				.	.	:		• .
		: -	: .	• .	• .		.	∴ ,		: .
	•	ی ⊶	• •	• •	• ·	• •	• 0	, ,	, c	
	10.	11.	3.5	11.	11.	11:		11.		12.
	12.	• 0		~	13.	1	15.	17.		
t	26.		34.	39.	45.	ເນື່	F3.	76.	~	(2)
	161.		~	380	766	94	*	~		9.8
	7469.	~	w	•	7255.	6850.	6401.	23 39•	~	0
	4621.	9	.386	22	S	9	618	*	~	0.12
	1857.	•	4,	46	369	2.6	2 11	_	41	0:3
	982.	_	•	œ	22	8	80	7.23.	N	2
	652.	Š	w	~	28	S	5.33	5 16.	501.	-
	+14.		4 5 (•	440.	431.	~	19	4 17.	_	
					CRA	9			•	
	957.	957.	956	958.		9.5	959	9 69 6	9 8 9	949
	959	960	96 (560.	760.	360.	960	961.	961.	7610
	961.	961	_	-	961.	961-	961	961.	962	562.
	962	962		~	963.	964.	966.	768	971.	7
	978.	982		•	993	966	958	10 01	10.03	ű
	1006.	1007.	•	600	1010	1011.	1011.	1911.	1012.	0 12
	1012.	013	~ 4	015	18	1022.	0.29	10 38.	1045	. ~
	1080.	99.	_	146	16	1214.	264	13 29.	1413.	522
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1664.	1845.	2067.	•	30	2933.	3209	34 44.	3629.	~
	3846.	3884.	388 €	3860.	3915.	3758.	3693.	36 24.	3555.	£ 7
	3420	2357.	329 £ •	(A)	3183.	3131.	3082.	30 35.	2953	g,
	2914.	2880.	2851.	∞	2801.	2780.	2761.	27 43.	2727.	~
	2598.	2684.	. 5 19 5	w	2649.	263ۥ	2627.	25.17.	£6 08 •	558
	25.89	2580.	257 8 .	u,	2555.	2547.	2539.	25 31.	2523	S
	2508.	2501.	2493	•	2478.	2470.	2462.	24 54.	5446	•
					STAGE					
	1462.0	1462.0	1462 . 6	462	452.0	1462	•	145.2.9	5.2	46.2
	1462.0	1462.0	1462.0	1462.0	1452.0	1462.0	1462.0	146.2.0	1462.0	1462.0
	1462.0	1462.0	462.	462	462.	462		CA.	462	462
	1462.0	5.2	462.	462	462.	462	452.	42	462	462
	1462.1	ر د د	462.	62	4 524	462	å	CA.	462	462
	1462.2	200	62	462	45.2	S	62,	145 2.2	4 6.2	6.2
	1462.2	1462.2	462	2	452	46.2	•	(4)	462	62
	1462.4	~ 1	62	9	505	462	ر. د د د	•	9	46.4
	1464.4	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	20	9 1	45 /	468	469	145 9.3	4 2	20
	1470.9	1471	471	4 70	470.	470	170	0	470	465
	1469.1	1469	169	9 69	6.5	6 6.8	9	146 8.5	1468.5	68
	1468.2	1468	8	9	67.	~	67.	146 7.1	~	Ć,
	1467.6	16.		9		9	67.	~	~	67
	1967.3	1961	1467.5	9	9	_	67	1457.1	~	6
	1467.0	1467	•	1467.0	•	1466.9	¥	1406.3	1466.8	1466.8
PEAK BUTFLOW 1S	4 1S	7756. AT TIPE	46.5	HOURS						
	•		,							

ž Š

PEAK FLDW AND STORAGE (ERC OF PERIOD) SYMMARY FORMULTIPLE PLAN-RATIG ECSYGMIC COMPUTATIONS FLOW STORAGE IN CUHIC FEET PER SECOND (CUBIC METERS PER SECOAD) AREA IN SCURRE MILES (SQUARE KILOMETERS)

RATIOS APPLIED 10 FLOWS PLAN RATIO 1 RATIO 2 RATIO 3 RATIO 4 0,26 0.27 0.50 1.00 2664. 4923. 5866. 75.4331 139.6831 279.3631 2692. 7755. 76-22)(215-63)(468. 13.26)f 417. 11.80)(2565. ARE A 1 8.62 (0.23E 18) 1 8.62 (0.23E 18) STATION HY CROGRAPH AT OP ERAT ION ROLTED TO

GARNET LAKE DAM NY - 1167

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN 1	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLON	INITIAL VALUE 1462.00 957.		SPILLWAY CREST 1462.00 957.	14 TS	TJP 0° [AM 1465.50 2964. 4.2 (*	GARNET LAKE DAM NY - 1167
RATIO	MAX INU P	. =	HOWIX	MAXI PUM	DUR AT I CN	TIME OF	TIPE OF
100 and	RESERVOTA	DEPTH ST	TORAGE	CUFFLOW	OVER TOP	4A) CUTFLOW	FAILURE
92.0	1456.87		2454	417.	, e	54070	2
0.27	1467.05		2565.	468.	5.50	53.00	• 0
05.0	1465.74		3085.	2652.	21.50	48.00	•0
1.00	1476.95		3 £ 86.	7756.	31.00	46.50	• 0

APPENDIX D
REFERENCES


APPENDIX D

REFERENCES


- 1) U.S. Department of Commerce; Weather Bureau;

 Hydrometeorological Report No. 33 Seasonal Variation of the Probable Maximum Precipitation East of the 105th Meridian for Areas from 10 to 1,000 Square Miles and Durations of 6, 12, 24, and 48 Hours, April 1956.
- 2) H.W. King and E.F. Brater, <u>Handbook of Hydraulics</u>, 5th edition, McGraw-Hill, 1963.
- 3) University of the State of New York, <u>Geology of New York</u>, Education Leaflet 20, Reprinted 1973.
- 4) Elwyn E. Seelye, Design, 3rd edition, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1960.
- 5) U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamations; Design of Small Dams, 2nd edition (rev. reprint), 1977.

APPENDIX E DRAWINGS



GARNET LAKE DAM NY - 1167




-

GARNET LAKE DAM

NY - 1167

[FIELD MEASTREMENTS - 7/81]



SECTION A-A

16.42

END

DATE FILMED 3-82

DTIC